

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable L. R. Thompson County Auditor Taylor County Abilene, Fexas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-1407
Re: way an independent school district which lies in two adjoining counties have its texas collected in both of the counties by the Tax Collector of one of the counties.

We are in receipt of your letter of September 8, 1939, in which you request an opinion of this Department as to whether or not the Assessor-Collecter of Taylor County can collect all the taxes for the Trent Independent chool District, even though part of the property of said independent school district is located in Jones County.

As you state in your letter, the Trent Independent chool District is an independent school district in Taylor County, Texas, and with it was consolidated the Goodman Common Cohool District which was located in Johns County. Statutory authority for such a consolidation is found in Article 2808 of the Revised Civil Statutes, which reads in part as follows:

*Common behood districts may in like manner be consolidated with configuous independent school districts,
and the district so created shall be known by the name of
the independent school district included therein, and the
management of the new district shall be under the existing
board of trustees of the independent school district, and
all the rights and privileges granted to independent districts by the laws of this State shall be given to the consolidated independent district erected under the provisions
of this law; . . .

It is to be noted that under the above cited Article the new district now has all of the rights and privileges granted to other independent districts under the law. One of such rights

Hon. 1. R. Thompson, Page 2

is set out by Article 2791, Revised Civil Statutes, which authorizes such an independent district to employ a district tex assessor and collector. Another of such rights is given to an independent school district under Article 2792, which provides in part 48 follows:

When a majority of the board of trustees of an independent district prefer to have the taxes of their district assessed and collected by the county assessor and
collector, or collected only by the county tax collector,
same shall be assessed and collected by said county officers
and turned over to the treasurer of the independent school
district for which such taxes have been collected. . ."

We are unable to find any statute which would directly answer your question. By way of analogy, however, we note Article 2744, Revised Civil Statutes, which applies to the consolidation of two county line common school districts. In such a case, the Commissioners' Court of each county lavies the tex for the district in that particular county, and the tax collector for each county assesses and collects the tax belonging to the district in his respective county.

There can be no question but that an independent school district which has property in two different counties could employ one collector to collect its taxes in both of said counties. The problem arises as to whether or not the tax collector of one county would be authorized to collect taxes for the school district on property lying in another county.

The Texas Commission of Appeals has twice held that the county tax dollector-assessor sannot be independently employed to collect or assess taxes for a school district. Odem vs. Sinton independent School District, 243 S. W. 1090; Pruit, et al vs. Glan incse Independent School District No. 1, 84 S. W. (24) 1004.

On the other hand, however, it has been held that an independent school district may elect under Article 2792 to nave its taxes collected by the county tax assessor and collector, and thereby not violate the constitutional provision against the holding of two offices of emplument. Eugle, et al vs. Glen Rose Independent School District No. 1, 50 S. N. (2d) 375; First Baptist Church vs. City of Fort North, 28 S. N. (2d) 196.

It is made clear by these cases, however, that such a collection by the county tax assessor-collector for both the county and

Hon. L. B. Thompson, Page 3

the independent school district is permissible only when it can be made an additional duty for the county collector to also callect the taxes of the independent school district and only where it can be said that he would not thereby be holding two offices but that he would be holding but one office with more than one duty. The language from thecase of Rugle, at al vs. Closs Rose Independent chool District Mo. 1, supra, is important in this respect:

"It is doubtless permissible for the legislature to assign to the county tax collector the duty of collecting the taxes of independent school districts, as is done under the provisions of hevised Statutes, article 2792, for the collector in discharging such duties is not holding two offices, but is morely performing extra duties assigned to the one office."

It is the opinion of this Department that it cannot be made part of the duties of the tax collector of Taylor County to collect taxes on property located in Jones County. Article 2792 provides that when a majority of the board of trustees of an independent sencel district prefer to have the taxes of the district collected by the county tax collector the same shall be collected by said officer. Still as to the property in Jones County, the same would not be collected by the county tax collector, for this county tax collector would not be the one who would be collecting the taxes, but the tax collector of another county would. As to the taxpayers of Jones County, the tax collector of Taylor County is merely an independent collector and not a county official. His holding this position plus the position of collector for Taylor County would violate the Constitution of Texas as being one person holding two offices of emolument.

Article 7854, Revised Civil Statutes, reads as follows:

"The tax collector shall be the receiver and collector of all taxes assessed upon the tax list in his county, whether assessed for the State or county, school, poor house or other purposes; and he shall proceed to collect the same according to law, and place the same when collected to the proper fund, and pay the same over to the proper authorities, as hereinafter provided."

or course, the independent school district can employ an independent district tax collector. However, if under Article 2792 it chooses to use the county tax collector, then the tax collector that must be chosen under Article 7284 is the tax collector of the county.

Hon. L. R. Thompson, Page 4

It is the opinion of this Department, therefore, that it cannot be said that the additional duty may be placed on the Taylor County tax sollector to sollect taxes in Jopes County. Therefore, if the tax sollector of Taylor County is employed by the independent school district to collect taxes in Jones County he would be holding two offices of emolument in contravention of the Constitution of Texas.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY CEHERAL OF TEXAS

37

Billy Coldberg

Assistant

BOIN

APPROVEDSEP 23, 1939

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

redl. Ma

